IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL WRIT No. 2793 of 2006

SMT.KAUSHALYA DEVI
V/S
J.V.V.N.LTD.JODHPUR & ORS.

Mr. HR CHAWLA, for the appellant / petitioner

Mr. SUMEET MEHTA, for the respondent

Date of Order : 17.1.2007

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA,J.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The impugned order, Annex.7 was passed way-back on 5.8.2005 and the present writ petition has been filed as late as on 25.5.2006. It is alleged that notice of demand of justice was sent vide Annex.8. Annex.8 neither bears any date, nor is there anything to show even in the writ petition, as to when was it sent, and as to whether it was received by the addressee.

It is objected by learned counsel for the respondent that the petitioner has not filed the petition herself, nor has she filed Vakalatnama, inasmuch as, Vakalatnama is signed by one Avinash, who has filed affidavit in support of the writ petition, purporting to be Power of Attorney of the petitioner-firm, while the petitioner is not a firm, but is a individual Smt.Kaushalya, apart from the fact that no Power of

Attorney has been produced.

Admittedly, the petitioner had approached the Consumer Protection Forum, and it is not shown, as to what has been the out come. In such circumstances, I am not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. The same is, therefore, dismissed summarily.

(N P GUPTA),J.

/tarun/